The following questions are designed to be a deep dive into the first molecular dihydrogen complex, reported in the article "Characterization of the First Examples of Isolable Molecular Hydrogen Complexes,  $M(CO)_3(PR_3)_2(H_2)$  (M = Mo, W; R = Cy, *i*-Pr). Evidence for a Side-on Bonded H<sub>2</sub> Ligand" by Kubas and co-workers, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **1984**, *106*, 451-452 (DOI: 10.1021/ja00314a049).

This article shows that molecular hydrogen can be a neutral L-type ligand bound in a side-on fashion. The complexes were structurally characterized, which had not been performed before. These H<sub>2</sub> complexes are relevant to catalytic hydrogenation reactions (among others).

## Structure and bonding

**Question 1.** Look at the complex shown here (Figure 1 from the Kubas paper). Describe it using CBC notation (geometry, VN, LBN, d<sup>n</sup> and VEC).

**Question 2:** Given the answer to question 1 above, predict the geometry and electron count of the starting material,  $W(CO)_3(PCy_3)_2$ , before the addition of  $H_2$ ?

The authors saw a reversible color change when  $H_2$  was added or removed from  $M(CO)_3(PR_3)_2$ , indicating that the species reacted with  $H_2$  but that the  $H_2$  could by removed to regenerate the starting materials. The authors could have studied the reaction using UV-Vis spectroscopy, <sup>31</sup>P NMR spectroscopy, <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectroscopy, Raman Spectroscopy, or other techniques. However, <sup>1</sup>H NMR and IR spectroscopy were ideal to study the  $H_2$  ligand itself.

**Question 3.** The H<sub>2</sub> ligand was structurally characterized on the W(CO)<sub>3</sub>(P-*i*-Pr<sub>3</sub>)( $\eta^2$ -H<sub>2</sub>) complex. Both Xray diffraction and neutron diffraction were used. Why is it hard to locate the structures of hydrogen atoms with X-ray diffraction? *Hint: X-rays diffract due to electron density while neutron diffraction relies on nuclear cross-section which varies strongly with different isotopes but is strong for both H and D.* 

**Question 4.** Sketch the frontier orbitals involved in the interaction of the metal and the  $H_2$  fragment. *Hint: you can use a Dewar-Chatt-Duncanson model to describe the bonding*. What would you predict the  $H_2$  bond length in the bound  $H_2$  be as compared to free  $H_2$ ? The authors describe this dihydrogen complex as being an "arrested form of oxidative addition." While we haven't discussed OA yet, what do you think this means?

## IR and NMR spectroscopy

In Newtonian mechanics and in the analysis of molecular vibrations in IR/Raman spectroscopy, the reduced mass,  $\mu$ , allows the 2-body problem to be solved as a 1-body problem. Reduced mass,  $\mu$ , is

defined as: 
$$\mu = \frac{m_1 m_2}{m_1 + m_2}$$
.

**Question 5:** Calculate the reduced mass for  $H_2$ , HD, and  $D_2$ . Use 1.008 g/mol for the atomic weight of H and 2.014 for the atomic weight of D.

The vibrational frequency of a molecule,  $\nu_{\text{r}}$  can be derived using simple harmonic motion

considerations:  $v = \frac{1}{2\pi} \sqrt{\frac{k}{\mu}}$ , where k is the force constant of the "spring." Using this information, one

can calculate the vibrational frequency of H<sub>2</sub> and D<sub>2</sub> given that  $k_{HH}$  = 574.9 N/m and  $k_{DD}$  = 577.0 N/m (normally one would calculate the force constant from experimental data). The v in Hz can be converted to cm<sup>-1</sup> (wavenumber) using c = v $\lambda$ . This is a fussy calculation that requires  $\mu$  to be in kg so the results are provided:

H<sub>2</sub>: 
$$v = \frac{1}{2\pi} \sqrt{\frac{k}{\mu}} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \sqrt{\frac{577.0N/m}{8.37 \cdot 10^{-28} kg}} = 1.319 \cdot 10^{14} s^{-1}$$

$$(1.319 \cdot 10^{14} s^{-1})(s/2.998 \cdot 10^{10} cm) = 4399 cm^{-1}$$

D<sub>2</sub>: 
$$v = \frac{1}{2\pi} \sqrt{\frac{k}{\mu}} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \sqrt{\frac{574.9N/m}{1.67 \cdot 10^{-27} kg}} = 9.349 \cdot 10^{13} s^{-1}$$

 $(9.349 \cdot 10^{13} s^{-1})(s/2.998 \cdot 10^{10} cm) = 3117 cm^{-1}$ 

**Question 6a**. Table 1 of the Kubas paper presents IR data for three isotopomers:  $W(\eta^2-H_2)$  (2690 cm<sup>-1</sup>),  $W(\eta^2-H_2)$  (2690 cm<sup>-1</sup>). Use the harmonic motion model presented above to predict the expected shift in frequency for the peaks seen for  $W(\eta^2-H_2)(CO)_3(PCy_3)_2$  and  $W(\eta^2-D_2)(CO)_3(PCy_3)_2$  relative to that of  $W(\eta^2-H_2)(CO)_3(PCy_3)_2$ . Make the assumption that the H-H, H-D and D-D springs will have essentially the same force constant (the small difference shown above can be considered "the same"). *Hint: use ratios!* 

**Question 6b.** How does this data support the proposal that these molecules have  $\eta^2$ -H<sub>2</sub> ligands rather than two adjacent hydride ligands?

**Question 7**. Explain why the signal of the H-D complex is a 1:1:1 triplet in the <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum.

**Question 8:** How is it possible to differentiate spectroscopically or chemically between a complex with a  $\eta^2$  bound H<sub>2</sub> molecule like W(CO)<sub>3</sub>(P-*i*-P<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>( $\eta^2$ -H<sub>2</sub>) and a dihydride complex like W(CO)<sub>3</sub>(P-*i*-P<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>H<sub>2</sub>?